

MARK TRINCHERO, OSB #883221

marktrinchero@dwt.com

P. ANDREW MCSTAY, JR., OSB #033997

andrewmcstay@dwt.com

DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP

1300 S.W. Fifth Avenue, Suite 2300

Portland, Oregon 97201 Telephone: (503) 241-2300 Facsimile: (503) 778-5299

> Attorneys for Defendants Comcast Phone of Oregon, LLC; Electric Lightwave, LLC; Eschelon Telecom, Inc.; Eschelon Telecom of Oregon, Inc.; and Integra Telecom of Oregon, Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF OREGON PORTLAND DIVISION

NORTH COUNTY COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION, a California corporation,

PLAINTIFF,

V.

360NETWORKS (USA), INC., a Nevada corporation; ALLEGIANCE TELECOM INTERNATIONAL, INC., a Delaware corporation; ALLEGIANCE TELECOM OF OREGON, ÍNC., a Delaware corporation; COMCAST PHONE OF OREGON, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; ELECTRIC LIGHTWAVE, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; ESCHELON TELECOM, INC., a Delaware corporation; ESCHELON TELECOM OF OREGON, ÍNC., a Minnesota corporation; INTEGRA TELECOM OF OREGON, INC., an Oregon corporation; and TELEPORT COMMUNICATIONS GROUP, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Case NCV'10 - 0180 - PK

NOTICE OF REMOVAL and DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

DEFENDANTS.

TO: THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

Page 1 - NOTICE OF REMOVAL

DWT 14045047v1 0050033-004795

#31763

Defendants Comcast Phone of Oregon, LLC ("Comcast"); Electric Lightwave, LLC ("ELI"); Eschelon Telecom, Inc. ("Eschelon"); Eschelon Telecom of Oregon, Inc. ("Eschelon-Oregon"); and Integra Telecom of Oregon, Inc. ("Integra") (collectively, "Defendants") for their Notice of Removal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1446 and through their undersigned counsel, state as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff North County Communications Corporation ("North County") commenced an action in the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon, County of Multnomah, ("Multnomah County Court") by filing a Complaint on January 12, 2010.
- 2. Each of the Defendants received a Summons and Complaint on January 19, 2010.

 A copy of the Complaint is attached as Exhibit A, and copies of the Summonses are attached as Exhibit B, and constitute all process, pleadings, and orders received by Defendants in the action up to the present date.
- 3. This Notice of Removal is filed with this Court within thirty (30) days of receipt of the Complaint by each Defendant as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).
- 4. This Notice of Removal is filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1367, 1441, and 1446, which provide for removal of a civil action arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States.
- 5. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a), the United States District Court for the District of Oregon is the federal district court for the district embracing the place where the state court suit is pending.
- 6. Venue lies in this Court because plaintiff's action is pending in Multnomah County Circuit Court, which is within this District and Division. 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a).

FEDERAL QUESTION JURISDICTION EXISTS

- 7. Removal of this action to the United States District Court for the District of Oregon is proper based on federal question jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because Plaintiff's First and Third Causes of Action attempt to determine and enforce rights that purport to arise under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States, specifically the Federal Communications Act and the rules of the Federal Communications Commission. See Sparta Surgical Corp. v. Nat'l Assn. of Sec. Dealers, Inc., 159 F.3d 1209 (9th Cir. 1998).
- 8. Plaintiff's Second Cause of Action also attempts to enforce rights purporting to arise under the Federal Communications Act (Complaint ¶¶ 42–49). But to the extent Plaintiff may have intended its Second Cause of Action to state a claim arising under State law, the Court has supplemental jurisdiction over that cause of action under 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 9. Defendants are serving written notice of the filing of this Notice of Removal upon North County and will cause a copy of this Notice to be filed with the Court Administrator of the Multnomah County Circuit Court in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d).
 - 10. Defendants reserve the right to amend or supplement this Notice of Removal.
- 11. Defendants have good and sufficient defenses to this action and do not waive any defenses, jurisdictional or otherwise, by the filing of this Notice.
- 12. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 81(c), Defendants shall answer, move, plead, or otherwise respond to the Complaint within seven (7) days of the date of filing this Notice of Removal.
 - 13. This notice is filed in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 11.
- 14. Upon information and belief, Defendants assert that Allegiance Telecom International, Inc. and Allegiance Telecom of Oregon, Inc. have not been served at the time of

Page 3 – NOTICE OF REMOVAL

this Notice or are defunct corporations and are nominal defendants. Upon information and belief, Defendants further assert that defendants 360Networks (USA), Inc. and Teleport Communications Group, Inc. are nominal defendants.

15. Defendants request a jury trial on all issues so triable.

WHEREFORE, Defendants remove the above-captioned action from the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon, County of Multnomah, to the United States District Court for the District of Oregon.

DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP

Dated: February 18, 2010

Mark Trinchero, OSB #883221 marktrinchero@dwt.com

P. Andrew McStay, Jr., OSB #033997

andrewmcstay@dwt.com

Attorneys for Defendants

(503) 241-2300 (telephone)

(503) 778-5299 (facsimile)

Attorneys for Defendants Comcast Phone of Oregon, LLC; Electric Lightwave, LLC; Eschelon Telecom, Inc.; Eschelon Telecom of Oregon, Inc.; and Integra Telecom of Oregon, Inc.

JAN 122 OF ATTORNEYS FOR Plaint IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON 6 FOR THE COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH 1001-00546 7 NORTH COUNTY COMMUNICATIONS No. CORPORATION, a California corporation, R Plaintiff, 9 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND DECLARATORY RELIEF 10 (Breach of Implied Contract; 360NETWORKS (USA), INC., A Nevada Quantum Meruit; Declaratory Corporation; ALLEGIANCE TELECOM INTERNATIONAL, INC., a Delaware Corporation; ALLEGIANCE TELECOM OF OREGON, INC., a Delaware Corporation; 11 Relief (28 U.S.C. § 2201)) 12 13 COMCAST PHONE OF OREGON, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company; 14 ELECTRIC LIGHTWAVE, LLC, a Delaware Claim does not exceed \$75,000 Limited Liability Company, ESCHELON 15 TELECOM, INC., a Delaware Corporation; ESCHELON TELECOM OF OREGON. Not Subject to Mandatory Arbitration . 16 INC., a Minnesota Corporation: INTEGRA TELECOM OF OREGON, INC., an Oregon 17 Corporation; TELEPORT COMMUNICATIONS GROUP, INC., a 18 Delaware Corporation. 19 Defendants. 20 21 INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT 22 1. 23 Plaintiff is a competitive local phone company, or "CLEC", that provides switched 24 and non-switched local exchange, exchange access, and other telecommunications services to end users in Oregon. Competitive Local Exchange Carrier ("CLEC") is a wireline telephone 25

company providing local exchange service (local telephone service) that is not one of the

PAGE 1 - COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND DECLARATORY RELIEF

26

1	former monopoly local phone companies (i.e. Qwest, AT&T, etc.).				
2	2.				
3	Defendants are CLEC providers that offer calling plans allowing calls to areas				
4	serviced by North County Communications Corp. ("North County").				
5	3.				
6	The Defendants' end users make "local" calls to North County's end users.				
7	4.				
8	Carriers have a duty of reciprocal compensation for this type of "local" traffic where				
9	the carrier of the end user who originates or "makes" the call pays the carrier who terminate				
10	the call to its end user who "receives" the call.				
11	5.				
12	This reciprocal compensation of carriers has traditionally been set by carriers filing a				
13	schedule of rates (commonly referred to as a "Tariff"). Oregon has chosen not to use a tariff				
14	system for CLEC's. Instead Oregon handles intercarrier compensation through private				
15	contract or use of informal price lists and good faith that carriers will pay for services				
16	performed in the absence of contract.				
17	6.				
18	North County has interconnection agreements with other CLEC's and incumbent local				
19	exchange carriers (former monopoly LEC's) such as Qwest Corp.				
20	7.				
21	North County has a public official price list available to any interconnecting carrier				
22	stating its rates for this reciprocal compensation, a copy of which is attached hereto as				
23	Exhibit 1, and it is incorporated herein by reference as if set forth verbatim. This price list				
24	was published to the Oregon Public Utilities Commission as is always available from North				
25	County directly.				
26					

PAGE 2 - COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND DECLARATORY RELIEF

1	8.				
2	North County has served invoices on Defendants for terminating traffic to North				
3	County's end users.				
4	9.				
5	Defendants have not paid these invoices and continue to terminate traffic to North				
. 6	County. North County is required to interconnect with Defendants and accept traffic from				
7	them and is now in the position of having to collect for services rendered and ensure payment				
8	for future call termination services.				
9	JURISDICTION AND VENUE				
10	10.				
11	This action is brought under Oregon state law, to recover damages and the costs of				
12	suit, including reasonable attorneys' fees, against the Defendants for the injuries plaintiff,				
13	North County Communications Corp. (hereinafter referenced as "North County") received in				
14	the State of Oregon. The exchanges of local telecommunications traffic for which fair				
15	compensation is owed occurred wholly within the state of Oregon, and a substantial portion				
16	of which in Multnomah County. This action is also brought under the Federal Declaratory				
17	Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §2201 (a).				
18	11.				
19	At all times relevant to this complaint the defendants were registered with the				
20	Secretary of State to do business in the State of Oregon, and were in fact doing business in				
21	Multnomah County.				
22	<u>PARTIES</u>				
23	12.				
24	Plaintiff NORTH COUNTY COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION, a California				
25	corporation, is a competitive local exchange carrier ("CLEC") that provides				
26	telecommunications services in Multnomah County and throughout Oregon pursuant to the				

PAGE 3 - COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND DECLARATORY RELIEF

1	Telecommunications Act of 1996 and Oregon state law.				
2	. 13. .				
3	Plaintiff is informed and believes that ALLEGIANCE TELECOM				
4	INTERNATIONAL, INC. is a Delaware Corporation and was, at all times relevant to this				
. 5	Complaint, doing business in Multnomah County, Oregon.				
6	14.				
7	Plaintiff is informed and believes that ALLEGIANCE TELECOM OF OREGON,				
8	INC. is a Delaware Corporation and was, at all times relevant to this Complaint, doing				
9	business in Multnomah County, Oregon.				
10	15.				
11	Plaintiff is informed and believes that COMCAST PHONE OF OREGON, LLC is a				
12	Delaware Limited Liability Company and was, at all times relevant to this Complaint, doing				
13	business in Multnomah County, Oregon.				
14	16.				
15	Plaintiff is informed and believes that ELECTRIC LIGHTWAVE, LLC is a Delaware				
16	Limited Liability Company and was, at all times relevant to this Complaint, doing business in				
17	Multnomah County, Oregon.				
18	17.				
19	Plaintiff is informed and believes that ESCHELON TELECOM, INC. is a Delaware				
20	Corporation and was, at all times relevant to this Complaint, doing business in Multnomah				
21	County, Oregon.				
22	18.				
23	Plaintiff is informed and believes that ESCHELON TELECOM OF OREGON, INC.				
24	is a Minnesota Corporation and was, at all times relevant to this Complaint, doing business in				
25	Multnomah County, Oregon.				
26 -	11111				

PAGE 4 - COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND DECLARATORY RELIEF

1	19.				
2.	Plaintiff is informed and believes that INTEGRA TELECOM OF OREGON, INC. is				
. 3	an Oregon Corporation and was, at all times relevant to this Complaint, doing business in				
4	Multnomah County, Oregon.				
5	FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS				
6	20.				
7	Congress in 1996, as part of the continuing introduction of competition into telephony				
8	services, passed the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. LA. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56				
. 9	(1996) (the "Act"). Prior to the Act, local phone service was run by monopolies, now				
10	referred to as Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers ("ILEC's") The Act allowed new				
11	companies, called Competitive Local Exchange Carriers ("CLEC's"), to compete with the				
12	ILEC's and other CLEC's in providing local telephony service.				
13	21.				
14	Plaintiff is a CLEC that provides switched and non-switched local exchange,				
15	exchange access, and other telecommunications services to end users in Oregon.				
16	22.				
17	Defendants are other CLEC providers that offer calling plans allowing calls to end				
18	users serviced by North County.				
19	23.				
20	The Defendants' end users make local area and intraLATA calls to North County's				
21	end users. Local Access and Transport Area ("LATA") is a regional subdivision of the				
22	United States used solely in wireline telephony and are based on the region that creates a				
23	"local" market both economically and in terms of the technical hubs and aggregation points				
24	for wireline communications traffic. IntraLATA traffic is traffic that originates and				
25	terminates in the same LATA, but is "long distance" because it is not in the same local				
26	calling area, and IntraLATA traffic is regulated by the states rather than the FCC.				

PAGE 5 - COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND DECLARATORY RELIEF

1	24,				
2	North County connects, or "terminates," local area and intraLATA calls sent to North				
3	County's end users by the Defendants' end users.				
4	25. ·				
5	North County incurs costs in terminating local area and intraLATA calls sent to Nort				
6	County's end users by the Defendants' end users.				
7	26.				
8	North County has an obligation to accept traffic from Defendants pursuant to 47				
9	U.S,C. 251(a)(1): "Each telecommunications carrier has the duty to interconnect directly or				
10	indirectly with the facilities and equipment of other telecommunications carriers."				
11	27.				
12	Defendants have a duty to compensate North County for completing their calls				
13	pursuant to 47 U.S.C. 251(b)(5): "Each local exchange carrier has [t]he duty to establish				
14	reciprocal compensation arrangements for the transport and termination of				
15	telecommunications." State utilities commissions have historically overseen the				
16	determination of what constitutes local traffic and how it is compensated.				
17	28.				
18	The Oregon Public Utilities Commission, unlike many states, decided that it does not				
19	have the statutory authority to require CLEC's to file tariffs. The Oregon Public Utilities				
20	Commission therefore left enforcement of the duty of intercarrier compensation among				
21	CLEC's such as Plaintiff and Defendants here up to private compensation agreements				
22	(usually "interconnection agreements") or the state laws of equity in the rare situation where				
23	there is no contract.				
24	29.				
25	The Defendants send traffic to the Plaintiff in the absence of an interconnection				
26	agreement or a reciprocal compensation arrangement.				

PAGE 6 - COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND DECLARATORY RELIEF

1	30.					
2	North County has, and has had for the entire time complained of herein, a valid public					
3	price list available to interconnecting carriers.					
4	31.					
5	North County began sending monthly bills to the Defendants for traffic termination in					
6	January, 2003.					
7	32.					
. 8	Consistent with North County's price list and the FCC's traffic termination default					
9	rate, North County billed the defendants \$0.004 per minute and \$0.007 per call set-up.					
10	33.					
11	Defendants have refused to pay these charges billed pursuant to the price list and					
12	equitable duty to pay for services rendered.					
13	34.					
14	Defendants have continued sending traffic to the Plaintiff's end users, which North					
15	County must connect, without compensating Plaintiff for call set-up or minutes of use.					
16	FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF					
17	Breach of Implied Contract					
18	(Against All Defendants)					
19	35.					
20	Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 34, above,					
21	as though fully set forth herein.					
22	36.					
23	47 U.S.C. 251(b)(5) imposes a duty of reciprocal compensation on interconnecting					
24	carriers when they pass traffic to a CLEC such as North County.					
25	37.					
26	Defendants did pass traffic to North County which it must terminate to the call recipient and					
	•					

PAGE 7 -- COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND DECLARATORY RELIEF

1	such conduct gives rise to an implied contract in the absence of a formal one since					
2	Defendants are obligated to pay for services rendered by 47 U.S.C. 251(b)(5).					
3	38.					
4	No reasonable person could believe that North County was providing a gift or					
5	terminating the traffic without expectation of compensation due to North County billing for					
6	services rendered and the continued termination of traffic after repeated billings.					
7	. 39.					
8	The fair value of the services rendered is set by North County's public price list.					
9	40.					
10	As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff has been damaged by Defendants refusal to pay					
11	publicly announced rates in an approximate amount of \$ 75,000, with the exact amount to be					
12	determined at the time of trial.					
13	41.					
14	Plaintiff is entitled to an award of its reasonable attorney fees and costs pursuant to					
15	47 U.S.C. §§ 201, 206.					
16	SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF					
17	Quantum Meruit					
18	(Against All Defendants)					
19	42.					
20	Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 41, above,					
21	as though fully set forth herein.					
22	43.					
23	In order to maintain the ubiquity and seamlessness of the telecommunications system,					
24	Plaintiff is required to terminate calls which originate on Defendants' networks and which are					
25	intended for Plaintiff's end-users.					
26	11111					

PAGE 8 -- COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND DECLARATORY RELIEF

1	44.				
2	Plaintiff terminated these calls and incurred costs in the process.				
3	45.				
4	Defendants knowingly accepted, used, enjoyed and benefitted from the services				
5	provided by the Plaintiff.				
. 6	46.				
7	North County sent bills to the Defendants reflecting the reasonable value of the				
8	services provided in the approximate amount of \$ 75,000, with the exact amount to be				
9	determined at trial.				
10	47.				
11	The Defendants have not disputed the reasonable value of the services provided or the				
12	billings sent, nor have they paid said bills.				
13	48.				
14	It would be unjust to allow the Defendants to have the benefit of Plaintiff's services				
15	without paying reasonable compensation for these benefits and the Defendants should be so				
16	ordered to pay.				
17	49.				
18	Plaintiff is entitled to an award of its reasonable attorney fees and costs pursuant to				
19	47 U.S.C. §§ 201, 206.				
-20	THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF				
21	Federal Declaratory Relief				
22	(Against All Defendants)				
23	50.				
24	Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 49, above,				
25	as though fully set forth herein.				
26					

PAGE 9 - COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND DECLARATORY RELIEF

1	51.						
2	North County seeks a judicial determination and declaration of its rights pursuant to						
3	the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2201.						
4	·52.						
5		Plaintiff asserts that it is entitled to be compensated for the termination of traffic					
6	whi	which the Defendants sent and continue to send to Plaintiff's end-users and Plaintiff is					
7	informed and believes that the Defendants deny their obligation to pay the Plaintiff reciprocal						
8	com	pensation. As a result, an actual controversy exists between the parties; and, it is in the					
. 9	interests of judicial economy for this court to determine (1) the number of calls and the						
10	number of minutes originating on the Defendants' networks and terminated on the Plaintiff's						
11	network up through the time of trial, (2) that the Plaintiff is entitled to receive mutual						
12	compensation for the termination of calls to Plaintiff's end-users which originate on the						
13	Defendants' networks, and (3) that the Defendants are required to commit to compensate						
14	Plaintiff at the public price list rate.						
15	53.						
16	Plaintiff is entitled to an award of its reasonable attorney fees and costs pursuant to						
17	47 U	.S.C. §§ 201, 206.					
18		WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment in its favor and against defendants as					
19	follows:						
20	(1)	On its First and Second Claims for Relief, for damages in the amount of at least					
21		\$75,000;					
22	(2)	On its Third Claim for Relief, for a declaration of the parties' rights and					
23		responsibilities with respect to (i) the number of calls and the number of minutes					
24		originating on the Defendants' networks and terminated on the Plaintiff's network for					
25		the time relevant to this complaint, (ii) the Plaintiff's entitlement to receive mutual					
26		compensation for the termination of calls to Plaintiff's end-users which originate on					

PAGE 10 - COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND DECLARATORY RELIEF

1		the Defendants' networks, and (iii) the Defendants' obligation to compensate Plaintiff
2		at a rate to be determined by the appropriate regulatory body, or else refrain from
3		sending any traffic to Plaintiff's end-users;
4	(3)	For its reasonable attorneys' fees;
5	(4)	For costs of suit incurred herein; and
6	(5)	Such other and further relief as justice may require.
7		DATED this Danuary, 2010.
. 8		KENT) & JOHNSON, LLP
9		
1.0		Christopher H. Kent OSB No. 852530
11		ckent@kentlaw.com Leslie S. Johnson, OSB No. 954727
12		ljohnson@kentlaw.com Fax: (503) 220-4299
13		Attorneys for Plaintiff
14		
15	59346	
16	•	
17		
18		
19		·
20		
21		
22		
23 ·		
24		
25		
26	•	

PAGE 11 - COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND DECLARATORY RELIEF

1/01 12:48 FAX 415 398 4321	CHISRD		₩ 002
	•	•	
NORTH COINTY	COMMUNICATIONS C	ORPORATION	
		(
OFFICIAL PRICE LIST: STAT	FOR OKEGON		•
			
Switched Access Service			•
1. Switched Access Service is available intrastate intereschange calls to end Company. The Company concurs i intrastate switched access service to provided on file with the Oregon Po Refixence is hereby made to those a intrastate Switched Access Services	i user subscribers over local end in, and Switched Access Service wiff schedules of the ILEC in weathing that a schedules for all rates, terms, an	change lines funished in is provided parament the scruing file scruing the scruing current and in effect.	by the to, the cc is
2. Rates		•	
Rate Element	Change		
Local Switching			
(bet access minute)	\$0.078 ;	•	•
. •			
	•		
	•		
:			٠
	·	•	•
	OMMUNICATIONS CO	RPORATION	
38	02 Rosecrans, Suite485 Diego, California 92110		
Fricial price list: State		•	
TERMINISTINISTI BIAIN	AL ARMSAUL		
18/001/3229575-1			
18/001/X20575-I			
18/001/x29575-i			
18/001/x29575-1			
18/001/x29575-1	EXI	liBiT	

	FOR THE COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH			
2	NORTH COUNTY COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION, a California Corporation.	No. 1001-00546	. •	
3	Plaintiff,	140. 1001-003-40	٠.	
4	i munit,	SUMMONS		
5	vs.	•		
6	360NETWORKS (USA), INC., a Nevada Corporation; ALLEGIANCE TELECOM INTERNAIONAL, INC.,			
7	a Delaware Corporation; et al.			
8	Defendants.			
9	TO: ELECTRIC LIGHTWAVE, LLC			
10	You are hereby required to appear and defend the compla thirty (30) days from the date of service of this summor want thereof, plaintiff(s) will apply to the court for the rel	ns upon you, and in case of your failure to		
11	want dissert, paramitally will apply to the court for the fer	O O O O		
12	DEFENDANT: READ THESE PAPERS CAREFULLY!			
13	You must "appear" in this case or the other side will win autom "appear" you must file with the court a legal paper called a "motion"	or "answer." CHRISTOPHER H. KENS, OSB #8		
14	The "motion" or "answer" must be given to the court clerk or adminis 30 days along with the required filing fee. It must be in proper form an		17	
15	of service on the plaintiff's attorney or, if the third party plaintiffs do attorney, proof of service upon the third party plaintiffs.	not have an Kent & Johnson, LLP		
16	If you have any questions you should see an attorney. You	1500 S.W. Taylor Street may call the Portland, Oregon 97205		
17	Oregon State Bar's Lawyer Referral Service at (503) 684-3763 or Oregon at (800) 452-7636.			
18	STATE OF OREGON, County of Multnomah) ss.			
19	I, the undersigned attorney of record for the	plaintiffs, certify that the foregoing is an	exact and	
20	complete copy of the original summons in the above entit			
21		Autorney of Record for Plain	tiff.	
22		V		
23	TO THE OFFICER OR OTHER PERSON SERVICING serve a true copy of this summons, together with a tru	•	· ·	
24	individual(s) or other legal entity(les) to whom or which service on the reverse hereof or upon a separate similar d	this summons is directed, and to make yo		
25	on my service nevert of riving a polyage 2000 of 6	winds winds you past auguste 15500.	•	
26		John John	<u> </u>	
	59473	Attorney of Regord for Plain	tiff	
	PAGE 1 – SUMMONS			
			KENT & JOHNSON, LLP 1500 SW Taylor Street Portland, Oregon 97205 (503) 220-0717	
			(303) 220-0717	

Exhibit B Page 1 of 5

Ţ	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON		
_	FOR THE COUNTY	OF MULTNOMAH	
2	NORTH COUNTY COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION, a California Corporation,	No. 1001-00546	
3	Plaintiff,	•	
4	· ·	SUMMONS	
5	vs.		
6	360NETWORKS (USA), INC., a Nevada Corporation; ALLEGIANCE TELECOM INTERNAIONAL, INC.,		
7	a Delaware Corporation; et al.	•	
8	Defendants.	,	
9	TO: COMCAST PHONE OF OREGON, INC.		
10	You are hereby required to appear and defend the compla		
11	thirty (30) days from the date of service of this summo want thereof, plaintiff(s) will apply to the court for the rel		
12	DEFENDANT: READ THESE PAPERS CAREFULLY!		
13	You must "appear" in this case or the other side will win automatically. To "appear" you must file with the court a legal paper called a "motion" or "answer." CHRISTOPHERH. KENT, OSB #852530		
14	The "motion" or "answer" must be given to the court clerk or adminis 30 days along with the required filing fee. It must be in proper form an		
15	of service on the plaintiff's attorney or, if the third party plaintiffs do not have an attorney, proof of service upon the third party plaintiffs. KENT & JOHNSON, LLP		
16	If you have any questions you should see an attorney. You	1500 S.W. Taylor Street may call the Portland, Oregon 97205	
17	Oregon State Bar's Lawyer Referral Service at (503) 684-3763 or toll-free in Telephone: (503) 220-0717 Oregon at (800) 452-7636.		
18	STATE OF OREGON, County of Multnomah) ss.		
19	I, the undersigned attorney of record for the	plaintiffs, certify that the foregoing is an exact and	
20	complete copy of the original summons in the above entit	led action.	
21		Attorney of Record for Plaintiff	
22			
23	TO THE OFFICER OR OTHER PERSON SERVICING THIS SUMMONS: You are hereby directed to serve a true copy of this summons, together with a true copy of the complaint mentioned therein, upon the		
24	individual(s) or other legal entity(ies) to whom or which this summons is directed, and to make your proof of service on the reverse hereof or upon a separate similar document which you shall attached legal.		
25			
26	•	Attorney of Record for Plaintiff	
	59472	Janoino, or respiration	
	PACE 1 STIMMONS		

1	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON		
_	FOR THE COUNTY	OF MULTNOMAH	
2	NORTH COUNTY COMMUNICATIONS		
3	CORPORATION, a California Corporation,	No. 1001-00546	
3	, Di-iicc		
4	· Plaintiff,	CITAMONIC	
7	٧̈\$.	SUMMONS	
5	*9.		
_	360NETWORKS (USA), INC., a Nevada Corporation;		
6	ALLEGIANCE TELECOM INTERNAIONAL, INC.,		
	a Delaware Corporation; et al.	·	
7			
	Defendants.		
8			
9	TO: ESCHELON TELECOM, INC.		
	You are hereby required to appear and defend the compla	int filed against you in the above-entitled action within	
10	thirty (30) days from the date of service of this summor		
	want thereof, plaintiff(s) will apply to the court for the rel		
11	7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7		
12	DEFENDANT: READ THESE PAPERS CAREFULLY!	\mathcal{L}	
12			
13	You must "appear" in this case or the other side will win automatically. To		
15.	"appear" you must file with the court a legal paper called a "motion" or "answer." CHRISTOPHER H. KENT OSB #852530		
14	The "motion" or "answer" must be given to the court clerk or adminis	trator within LESLIE S. JOHNSON, OSB #954727	
	30 days along with the required filing fee. It must be in proper form an		
15	of service on the plaintiff's attorney or, if the third party plaintiffs do not have an		
	attorney, proof of service upon the third party plaintiffs.	Kent & Johnson, LLP	
16	** • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1500 S.W. Taylor Street	
	If you have any questions you should see an attorney. You i	· -	
17	Oregon State Bar's Lawyer Referral Service at (503) 684-3763 or	toll-free in Telephone: (503) 220-0717	
10	Oregon at (800) 452-7636.		
18	STATE OF OREGON, County of Multnomah) ss.		
10	of Oldoon, county of Middle of Management 1888.		
19	I, the undersigned attorney of record for the	plaintiffs, certify that the foregoing is an exact and	
20	complete copy of the original summons in the above entit	led action.	
	•	Thurston	
21		5D 15 Division	
22		Attorney of Record for Plaintiff	
22			
23	TO THE OFFICER OR OTHER PERSON SERVICING	THIS SUMMONS: You are hereby directed to	
23	serve a true copy of this summons, together with a true		
24	individual(s) or other legal entity(ies) to whom or which		
~ ,	service on the reverse hereof or upon a separate similar document which you shall attached hereto.		
25			
		X - X	
26	•	pare 4000	
	50474	Attorney of Record for Plaintiff	
	59474		
	PAGE 1 – SUMMONS		

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON		
FOR THE COUNTY	OF MULTNOMAH	
NORTH COUNTY COMMUNICATIONS		
CORPORATION, a California Corporation,	No. 1001-00546	
	·	
Plaintiff,		
	SUMMONS	
vs.		
·		
360NETWORKS (USA), INC., a Nevada Corporation;	,	
ALLEGIANCE TELECOM INTERNAIONAL, INC.,		
a Delaware Corporation; et al.		
a Dolaware Corporation, of ar.		
Defendants.		
Descridants.		
TO. INTECDATELECOM OF OPECON INC.		
TO: INTEGRA TELECOM OF OREGON, INC.		
77	e company of the control of the cont	
You are hereby required to appear and defend the compla		
thirty (30) days from the date of service of this summor		
want thereof, plaintiff(s) will apply to the court for the rel	lief demanded in the complaint.	
DEFENDANT: READ THESE PAPERS CAREFULLY!	<i>l</i> . (/	
You must "appear" in this case or the other side will win autom	atically To Day	
	111 / 47	
"appear" you must file with the court a legal paper called a "motion"	1 / /	
The "motion" or "answer" must be given to the court clerk or adminis		
30 days along with the required filing fee. It must be in proper form an		
of service on the plaintiff's attorney or, if the third party plaintiffs do	not have an	
attorney, proof of service upon the third party plaintiffs.	Kent & Johnson, LLP	
•	1500 S.W. Taylor Street	
If you have any questions you should see an attorney. You r	may call the Portland, Oregon 97205	
Oregon State Bar's Lawyer Referral Service at (503) 684-3763 or	• -	
Oregon at (800) 452-7636.		
0.000/ 40.00/ 40.000.		
STATE OF OREGON, County of Multnomah) ss.		
STATE OF OREGON, County of Multnomah) ss.		
I the maleurian a stranger of accord for the	plaintiffs partify that the forestains is an event and	
	plaintiffs, certify that the foregoing is an exact and	
complete copy of the original summons in the above entit	iled action.	
	$\mathcal{A}_{\bullet\bullet}$	
	1 Decet to	
	Attorney of Record for Plaintiff	
TO THE OFFICER OR OTHER PERSON SERVICING		
serve a true copy of this summons, together with a true copy of the complaint mentioned therein, upon the		
individual(s) or other legal entity(ies) to whom or which	this summons is directed, and to make your proof of	
service on the reverse hereof or upon a separate similar do		
	77	
•		
	Marso A	
	Attorney of Record for Plaintiff	
59476	- (
DACE 1 CHAMMONG		

Kent & Johnson, LLP 1500 SW Taylor Street Portland, Oregon 97205 (503) 220-0717

1	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON			
_	FOR THE COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH			
2	NORTH COUNTY COMMUNICATIONS			
	CORPORATION, a California Corporation,	No. 1001-00546		
3				
	Plaintiff,			
4	•	SUMMONS		
	VS.			
5	·			
_	360NETWORKS (USA), INC., a Nevada Corporation;			
6	ALLEGIANCE TELECOM INTERNAIONAL, INC.,			
_	a Delaware Corporation; et al.			
7	·			
-	Defendants.			
8		-		
_	TO: ESCHELON TELECOM OF OREGON, IN	C		
9	,			
	You are hereby required to appear and defend the compl	aint filed against you in the above-entitled action within		
10		ons upon you, and in case of your failure to do so, for		
	want thereof, plaintiff(s) will apply to the court for the re			
11		•		
	DEFENDANT: READ THESE PAPERS CAREFULLY!	. 1)		
12				
	You must "appear" in this case or the other side will win autor	matically To New Office		
13	"appear" you must file with the court a legal paper called a "motion"			
	The "motion" or "answer" must be given to the court clerk or admin			
14				
	30 days along with the required filing fee. It must be in proper form a			
15	of service on the plaintiff's attorney or, if the third party plaintiff's d			
	attorney, proof of service upon the third party plaintiffs.	KENT & JOHNSON, LLP		
16	To many home and another than the state of the same of	1500 S.W. Taylor Street		
	If you have any questions you should see an attorney. You			
17	Oregon State Bar's Lawyer Referral Service at (503) 684-3763 (or toll-free in Telephone: (503) 220-0717		
	Oregon at (800) 452-7636.			
8	STATE OF ORDOOM Same and the seal of			
	STATE OF OREGON, County of Multnomah) ss.			
9	Y 4 4	allining and the star of the first in an arrest and		
	i, the undersigned anomey of record for the	plaintiffs, certify that the foregoing is an exact and		
20	complete copy of the original summons in the above ent	itled action.		
		Tour de		
21		The state of the s		
	•	Attorney of Record for Plaintiff		
22		. (
	TO THE OFFICER OR OTHER PERSON SERVICING	THIS SUMMONS: You are hereby directed to		
23	serve a true copy of this summons, together with a true			
	individual(s) or other legal entity(ies) to whom or which	h this summons is directed, and 40 make your proof of		
4	service on the reverse hereof or upon a separate similar of			
_	service on mo reverse nereor or about a sebarate similar c	to controll witten you man anather hereto.		
25				
	·	Therest		
6		Attorney of Record for Plaintiff		
	59475	Augurney of Record for Plaintiff		
	J7 4 1J	\mathcal{C}		
	PAGE 1 - STIMMONS			
	E ALTEL I - ALIVENIA IIVA			

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I served a copy of the foregoing NOTICE OF REMOVAL

on:

Christopher H. Kent Leslie S. Johnson Kent & Johnson, LLP 1500 S.W. Taylor Street Portland, Oregon 97205 (503) 220-0717 (telephone)

Attorneys for Plaintiff

by mailing a copy thereof in a sealed, first-class postage prepaid envelope, addressed to said attorney's last-known address and deposited in the U.S. mail at Portland, Oregon on the date set forth below;

Dated this 18th day of February, 2010.

DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP

By

Mark Trinchero, OSB #88322

marktrinchero@dwt.com

P. Andrew McStay, Jr., OSB #033997

andrewmcstay@dwt.com

Attorneys for Defendants

(503) 241-2300 (telephone)

(503) 778-5299 (facsimile)